1 2	FLORIDA A & M UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES	
3	IN RE: TELEPHONIC BOARD M	EETING
4	-	/
5		
6		
7	TRUSTEE MEMBERS:	RUFUS MONTGOMERY, CHAIRMAN LUCAS BOYCE
8		KELVIN LAWSON TONNETTE GRAHAM
9		KARL WHITE BETTYE GRABLE
10		TOREY L. ALSTON BELINDA SHANNON
11		SPURGEON McWILLIAMS CLEVE WARREN
12		KIMBERLY MOORE
13	DATE:	MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2015
14		,
15	TIME:	COMMENCED AT: 2:00 P.M. CONCLUDED AT: 3:20 P.M.
16		CONCEODED 111. 3.20 1.11.
17	LOCATION:	PRESIDENT'S CONFERENCE ROOM, LEE HALL
18		FOURTH FLOOR TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
19		IALLANASSEE, FLORIDA
20	REPORTED BY:	NANCY S. METZKE, RPR, FPR
21		COURT REPORTER
22	~	рероршера
23	C & N REPORTERS POST OFFICE BOX 3093 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32315-3093 (850) 697-8314 / FAX (850) 697-8715 nancy@metzke.com candnreporters.com	
24		
25		

## PROCEEDINGS

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: All right. In the interest of time, we're going to move forward. If any member of the Board has an issue, just go ahead and call the President's office and have someone walk over; but if you do have a problem with your line, we apologize for the inconvenience and we'll ask them to fix this going forward.

I call this meeting to order.

Ms. Singleton, please call the roll.

MS. SINGLETON: Thank you,

Chairman Montgomery.

Trustee Alston.

TRUSTEE ALSTON: Here.

MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Boyce.

TRUSTEE BOYCE: Here.

MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Here.

MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Graham.

TRUSTEE GRAHAM: Here.

MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Lawson.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Here.

MS. SINGLETON: Trustee McWilliams.

TRUSTEE McWILLIAMS: Here.

1 MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Montgomery. CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Here. 3 MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Moore. TRUSTEE MOORE: Here. 5 MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Shannon. TRUSTEE SHANNON: Here. 7 MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Warren. 8 (NO RESPONSE). MS. SINGLETON: Trustee White. 10 TRUSTEE WHITE: Here. 11 MS. SINGLETON: Trustee Woody. 12 TRUSTEE WOODY: Here. 13 MS. SINGLETON. Mr. Chair, you have a quorum. 14 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Thank you. 15 Trustees, our first action item today is the 16 approval of a services agreement with a public 17 relations firm. You received information from 18 VP McKnight regarding the request for quotations 19 that were issued to four PR firms. 2.0 Brock Communications was the only firm to respond 21 with a quote. You also received the 22 Brock Communications' proposal and a proposed 23 services agreement. 2.4 VP McKnight, would you please provide an 25 update with regard to where we are with this

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

matter?

ATTORNEY MCKNIGHT: Yes, thank you, Chairman.

As indicated in our email on Friday, this matter has been vetted with proposals being submitted to four different entities. Two did not respond in terms of wanting to go forward with any work pursuant to the purpose of request for the quotations. The Brock firm did (phone static) the University.

This matter has been vetted by both the Procurement Office as well as the General Counsel's Office, and attached with the documents submitted by email on Friday is a draft contract for the Board's consideration that was also vetted and prepared by the General Counsel's Office and the Procurement Office.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Thank you, VP McKnight.

Is there a motion to approve the services agreement with Brock Communications?

TRUSTEE WOODY: Trustee Woody. So move.

TRUSTEE MOORE: Second, Trustee Moore.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: A motion has been made and properly seconded. Is there a discussion?

(NO RESPONSE).

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Hearing none, all those

in favor, please indicate by saying aye.

(AFFIRMATIVE INDICATIONS).

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Any opposed, nay.

(NO RESPONSE).

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Motion carries unanimously.

Thank you. And I'll add that I was supportive of that motion for approval, so we thank you, Board members. I did have an opportunity to do some research with regard to PR firms, and there will be forthcoming information about how we proceed with that process.

VP Cassidy, you're recognized to present the legislative budget request.

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Good afternoon. I'm going to pass around some hard copies to people in the room. This request has some relatively minor revisions since the last Board meeting. I'd like just to give a summary of the six items that are in the request, and then I'll talk briefly about what's changed since you last saw it.

The six items as they now exist are: Student success initiative for \$5.987 million; secondly, and this is a new item, a faculty vitality and curriculum enhancement item, which is

9.507 million; an on-line education program and course offerings enhancement program for \$5 million; a college of -- the College of Agriculture and Food Services Brooksville project, some start-up funding in the amount of \$3,283,600; a campus safety initiative in the amount of 4,305,000 and some other dollars; and then, finally, some support for the Sustainability Institute in the amount of \$2.75 million.

What's changed since the last Board meeting, which is in large part due to -- based on some conversations we had last week with Board of Governors' members about student success and the work plan, and also how the Legislature might view asking for money that would primarily support changes -- or in many ways support changes to the performance metrics.

We've taken what was -- the first item, which was a student success initiative omnibus kind of item. That was about \$15 million, and we've broken it now into two parts: The first being the student success initiative, as I said, that's \$5.978 million; and then the new item, which is largely a breakout of things that were previously -- largely included in the student

1 success is some faculty vitality and curriculum enhancement information and dollar request for 3 9.507 million. Those two items together as now configured are about \$484,000 higher than the amount that was shown last week of 15 million 001 and thousands.

> So those are the changes since last month -since last week; and as I said, those were mostly in response to conversations we had with the chancellor and other members of the staff. While they haven't seen this document, we think what we've done is responsive to the comments that they made to us.

I'd entertain any questions that you -- that anyone on the Board might have about these items.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Chairman Montgomery, this is Lawson.

> TRUSTEE GRABLE: Chair Montgomery, Grable.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Okav. I have Trustee Lawson and Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Mr. Cassidy, so thanks for the breakdown. you could just explain the faculty vitality piece because I think going back to the last meeting, our discussion was around the 15 and trying to

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

understand, you know, what made that up. And I know you've broken it down into two parts now -- VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Right.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: -- that might be more amenable to the Board of Governors, but if you could just explain that one component, that would be great.

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Yeah, I'm going to sort of briefly summarize the, what is it, about six or seven bullets points that are in the description. The first is a faculty vitality initiative based on the aging of our faculty. The goal here is to have money to renew faculty in various ways as our current faculty ages.

Secondly, there are salary enhancements for faculty in areas where the median salaries are below -- below the average salary at peer and regional institutions.

Third is some computer information systems support program to support accreditation offerings. Another item has to do with the stem faculty, enhancing their ability to meet general education requirements, investing in the School of Excellence and the School of Business and Industry, supporting general education needs by extending -- expanding

social services in humanities faculty; expanding nursing faculty to meet student demand and state needs and to enhance positive licensure exam outcomes; supporting the vitality of the pharmacy program with salary support, some of which we did not receive on a recurring basis from the Legislature; and lastly, ensuring the continuing ability to support student excellence in the journalism program.

So these are areas that we believe we need support in, and the two first ones are I think of major interest to probably the Board, which is faculty vitality and renewal and then salary enhancements to -- for salaries -- faculty salaries that are below the median.

So those are the items that we've identified, and they add up to \$9.5 million.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: I'm sorry, go ahead.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: I'm sorry,

Trustee Lawson, please.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Yeah, just one follow-up and I'll yield the floor. On the salary enhancement piece, can we request it out of these dollars if these are not recurring? I mean everything you've

2.0

2.4

laid out sounds like a real need, but the only one that seemed a little out of place in this basket was the salary enhancement piece.

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Well, we've got all of -- at least lined up in the way the request is outlined, we've got all but 300,000 of it as being recurring. The issue with salary enhancement is, once you pay it up front, you then have changed the salary of the people and so it, therefore, recurs as long as the faculty does.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Right.

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: So I think that's the reason -- I know that's the reason why that's considered recurring.

The nonrecurring is largely around some of the computer and other areas like that, but the rest of this is mostly around faculty; and as we know, faculty recurs.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Cassidy.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Thank you,

Chairman Montgomery.

My question, of course, does fall under this particular request related to faculty vitality as well, and my first question would dovetail I think

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

into what Trustee Lawson asked regarding salaries;

but I wanted to particularly get some understanding

of how many positions this is -- how many positions

are we anticipating these -- this funding will

cover?

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Are we talking about renewal or in terms of the vitality issue,

Trustee Grable?

TRUSTEE GRABLE: I'm sorry?

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Which part of the salaries are you referring to?

TRUSTEE GRABLE: I'm referring to the first part where you list a variety of disciplines on campus that are in the critical areas, how many faculty members do we feel that this funding would support? That was my question.

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Okay. Well, I think if you were to look at the end -- and I apologize, I've only really sort of called attention to the parts of the document that are narrative and supported by summary information; but if you would turn to the end, to the last few pages of the document where a second part of what needs to be submitted to the Board of Governors is the so-called form two, which gives more information

2.4

2.0

2.

behind the numbers, you would see there 93 faculty. The pages are not numbered, but the --

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Yes, I see it.

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Those number -- those documents follow the same order as the form one, so there's 93 faculty in total I think you can see from there.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. So there's 93 total including renewals and, I guess, current positions that may need some adjustment regarding salary?

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Right, and a part of that is that as some faculty leave, there will be sort of a recycling process of where you bring in new faculty. And so in some ways the numbers are net of the difference between the cost of departing faculty less the cost of arriving faculty. So there is some netting in there; but, yes, you have the right concept.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. And then I guess my other question, since we're on that particular detail regarding positions, exactly -- since it's about faculty, why do we have under positions other (phone static), slash, USPS? What is that, supporting positions for the faculty positions?

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Yes, and as you can

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 2.0

21

22

23

24 25

see, they're significantly dwarfed by the faculty member of 93 to 4.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Right, so that is of some You know, if we have a potential for assisting and creating new positions that total 93, and we have four A & P USPS, and you're saying that we're covering a variety of disciplines across the campus, I'm just wondering, there will probably be a battle for those positions, Mr. Cassidy.

VICE PRESIDENT CASSIDY: Well, I guess what I would say, is generally speaking this is a request. You rarely get the same number of dollars, and you can almost rarely be able to track what you got versus what you asked.

This is a broad ask, and I think depending on the amount of dollars that are actually received and how much of it's recurring and how much of it is nonrecurring, this requires rethinking as funding becomes available, or even perhaps as we get indications during the legislative session of what they might be interested in doing in these areas.

So while it's a good first attempt, I understand your point, and we certainly will be looking at this as the funding emerges.

1 TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. And, Mr. Chairman, if 2 I may, I have one last question.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Please continue.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Thank you.

Regarding this issue, the exact description, when we look at the first bulleted point, suggests that we are, of course, ensuring the vitality of the faculty by engaging in faculty renewal. The second sentence, if we go near the end, suggests that this is crucial to support the ongoing teaching and research mission of the University.

Now we're not talking about the work plan now, I am aware of that, but I want us to keep in mind that we are -- made a statement within the work plan that will deal with this crucial support needed to maintain ongoing teaching and research mission.

So that would automatically, in my mind, bring forward the issue of faculty workload and course load in order to, of course, meet the research mission but then to go ahead and be able to support some of these other items that are bulleted points in this item that includes, of course, journalism mentioned as support student excellence.

All of this, when we talk about additional

2.4

2.0

positions and we talk about faculty responsibilities in terms of teaching, research, and service, we have to remember that faculty has to have the time.

Again, we need to take a closer look, I would suggest, when we -- if we're successful in getting these dollars, and even if we aren't, we must really take a look at faculty workloads and faculty course loads. And that's the point I really wanted to make.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

TRUSTEE McWILLIAMS: Trustee Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee McWilliams.

TRUSTEE McWILLIAMS: Yes, sir. I had a little discussion with the Provost this morning about this. I get a lot of calls, I guess since people know me, not about faculty workload as faculty absenteeism. I think it's having a detrimental effect on student performance, and I think unless we get a more serious effort from the faculty, as a whole, to attend class, that we're going to have some problems that might reach Board level.

So I don't want to necessarily be on the other end of the spectrum. I know that faculty workload and all of these things are important, but all

1 these calls I get referring to people not showing up for class, not students but faculty, so I think 3 it's a two-edged sword. TRUSTEE GRABLE: Mr. Chairman, this is 5 Trustee Grable. I'd like to at least have a 6 follow-up to that comment. 7 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Sure, Trustee Grable. 8 Trustee McWilliams, were you -- did you 9 conclude your comments? 10 TRUSTEE McWILLIAMS: I've concluded, yes. 11 just threw that out there. 12 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Okay. Thank you, 13 Trustee McWilliams. 14 Enforcing the Robert's Rules, is there any 15 other Trustee that would like to speak on this 16 matter? I'm going to recognize Trustee Grable 17 again, but is there any other Trustee that wishes 18 to speak on this matter? TRUSTEE GRAHAM: This is Trustee Graham, 19 2.0 Mr. Chair. 21 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Graham, you're 22 recognized. 23 TRUSTEE GRAHAM: Thank you. 2.4 Thank you for your comments, 25 Trustee McWilliams. I know I've heard a few

O

complaints regarding this but not many, but I will ensure that on the student side, when the students do their surveys, that we work with the Provost's office to get these added, so we can actually have statistics and a track record to show, based on the student feedback on the surveys, the faculty attendance, because that's a reflection of not only the faculty, but the students' learning as well.

I know instead of now for a three-hour course, if you miss three classes, you are -- you fail the course, but there's not an accountability component, to my knowledge, for the professors, and I think that's a complaint.

I think those are the comments that you have received, Trustee McWilliams. So to just get a paper trail and research on the student side, I'll make sure that we add that to the survey so we get student feedback regarding this issue.

TRUSTEE MCWILLIAMS: Thank you,
Trustee Graham, I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Thank you, Chair Montgomery.

And I would like to certainly agree with what

Trustee Graham just suggested that -- and I also,

too, appreciate Trustee McWilliams' comments; but

of course, as he indicated, these are calls that would be considered anecdotal information.

And I do think if there is a concern being expressed, that we do try to back up our concerns or any anecdotal information with actual data to consider this issue because I do know, again, faculty is really overloaded in a lot of areas, and a lot of time faculty have assignments that go beyond what may or may not appear on their assignment of responsibilities.

So I want to make sure that, you know, we at least keep that in perspective; and that was all I wanted to share.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Thank you, Trustee Grable.

Any Trustees -- any additional comments or discussion on this item?

TRUSTEE McWILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, my only additional comment would be I agree with both Trustee Grable and Trustee Graham. I do -- I know that this is anecdotal, but it's so overwhelming that I do think we need to get statistical data. And certainly we can't act on anything without real information. So however one should receive that or whoever should -- whose ever responsibility it is

2.0

2.4

\_ -

to get that information, I would appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: I'd ask at this time that we hear from Doctor Mangum in response to comments from trustees.

PRESIDENT MANGUM: This is Elmira. The student surveys where Trustee Graham was talking about the results that the students provide, we can pull the student surveys to see what the data say. We also have additional anecdotal information that is provided to us through social media, so we'll look through some of our records to see what students have reported as well.

But the annual student survey should provide us with the information that we received. So we'll ask Vice President Hudson: Do you get the results of the student survey every year?

VICE PRESIDENT HUDSON: I don't get those surveys. That goes to OIR, Office of Institutional Research.

PRESIDENT MANGUM: Office of Institutional
Research will provide the responses from the
surveys -- from the testing services, that you guys
have the ability to gather the data. Is there a
particular number of years that you would like us
to pull the data for?

TRUSTEE McWILLIAMS: I would think two years 1 probably would be adequate to give us an idea of 3 what's going on currently. PRESIDENT MANGUM: Okay. 5 TRUSTEE McWILLIAMS: Thank you, 6 Madam President. 7 TRUSTEE GRAHAM: Mr. Chair, this is 8 Trustee Graham. CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Graham, you're 10 recognized. 11 Thank you. TRUSTEE GRAHAM: 12 I mentioned that because, to my knowledge, I 13 know in my last four years at the institution, 14 there isn't a question on the survey that students 15 fill out on faculty attendance. Therefore, I said 16 I would work with whoever to ensure that we get this component on the surveys for this incoming 17 fall semester and semesters to come. 18 19 But to my knowledge, unless I missed 2.0 something, one of the vice presidents or 21 Doctor Mangum correct me if I'm wrong, but there is 22 nothing on the surveys at this time to indicate 23 faculty's attendance. 2.4 PRESIDENT MANGUM: May I?

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Doctor Mangum.

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

\_

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

2324

25

PRESIDENT MANGUM: We are advised that on the course assessment survey that's conducted, there's a question that has to do with attendance and faculty presentation, and we will pull those data to take a look at it.

Trustee Graham, I do understand the need to -probably at the end of course materials, we can
certainly add a question going forward with regard
to attendance. We have asked and talked to
students about that last year, about the need to be
able to gather data at the end of a course because
most of the data is anecdotal and we do see it on
social media when students share attendance
information with each other.

So we'll look at some of the qualitative data and, if need be, if you'd like, we can do a survey to gather data from current students about their experiences in the last year about attendance and class cancellations, if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Any additional comments,
Trustees?

(NO RESPONSE).

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Hearing none, is there a motion for approval of the legislative budget request?

1 TRUSTEE WARREN: So move. TRUSTEE LAWSON: Second. 3 That's Trustee Warren, by the TRUSTEE WARREN: 4 way, making the motion. 5 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Thank you, and please 6 note Trustee Warren has joined us for the call. 7 The motion has been made and properly seconded. Is there any discussion? 8 (NO RESPONSE). 10 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Hearing none, all those 11 in favor, please indicate by saying aye. 12 (AFFIRMATIVE INDICATIONS). 13 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Any opposed, nay. 14 (NO RESPONSE). 15 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: The motion carries 16 unanimously. 17 Members of the Board, as you recall at our 18 last meeting, we deferred taking action on the 19 University's work plan to allow for additional 2.0 revision. I'd like to take a moment to thank 21 Trustee Grable for pushing the issue of requiring 22 an additional period of time for Board members to 23 be able to properly vet and understand the plan and 2.4 the revision. I'd also like to thank the Board of 25

Governors for agreeing to extend our submission

1 deadline.

2.0

Before I recognize Trustee Grable, I feel compelled to share a few observations; and being mindful of your time today, I feel compelled to share with you that it's been past practice for the President to speak with the Chairman and members of the Board prior to meetings. I had a scheduled call with Doctor Mangum on Wednesday. She thought it was scheduled for -- told me that she thought it was scheduled for 30 minutes; our calls normally run about 90 minutes.

And we got to a point in the call in which Doctor Mangum said she had something else to do, she had another meeting. And I said, well, wait a minute, we need to finish this call. And as I started to explain that, the next voice I heard was that of her executive assistant, Jackie Hightower, telling me that she had simply left the call and went on to her next meeting, and she'd have to talk to me later.

And I thought that odd, disrespectful, and unacceptable. And I sent Doctor Mangum an email telling her that, you know, this conduct is not acceptable, you just don't leave the call even though you did indicate that you had another

2.4

1 meeting.

2.0

2.4

So we weren't able to get back together on Wednesday. We had a call on Thursday that I actually thought went surprisingly well. It's generally the practice that the President speaks with the Chairman before the Board meetings about the Board agenda. There was no such call scheduled for this meeting.

The Provost reached out to me yesterday. I wasn't able to talk to her. We scheduled an 8:30 call for this morning. During that call, I had some questions about the revision that the Provost was not able to answer. And to her credit though, a couple of hours later, she replied to all my questions and responded to those in a way that I could understand.

But I tried to explain in a follow-up call to Doctor Mangum that if the Chairman didn't understand, then there would likely be members of the Board who didn't understand, it's the day of the meeting, and it would be irresponsible for the Board to vote on something that it didn't truly understand.

Her position was that the time to explain it would be during the meeting. My position was, as a

Board, we need to be informed going into the meeting.

You may wonder how this ties into today's agenda and why I'm speaking about this now. As we move into questions about the work plan, moving forward, Board members, we're not going to be in a position where we're getting this information last minute.

I am going to insist that the administration get us, as indicated with the legislative budget request, as indicated with the work plan, and any materials and items, that we get the information prior to the meeting with enough time to digest it.

Now I'm also going to take the step of informing you that when I spoke with Doctor Mangum this morning, about 20 minutes into the call, she simply hung up. She flat out hung up and got off the phone.

For her protection and based upon previous conversations with her when she says she feels intimidated or threatened, for her protection, I require the University's General Counsel to be on the line when I speak with Doctor Mangum; and that way there is an impartial third party who is able to listen and to monitor the call, and that's for

2.0

her protection and for mine.

the call with Provost David.

\_

Doctor Mangum has made allegations, she hasn't told me who the people are, but she says that there are members of her staff that feel threatened; and so I

On the call with Provost David this morning --

with Provost David. And there were no issues with

asked University counsel to join me for the call

But Doctor Mangum indicated that she was busy today, she had other things on her schedule, and that she would not be able to accommodate my request to respond to my questions in writing. And then she said she was going to have to end the call. And I said, Doctor Mangum, listen, this is important.

And her response was, you may think everything is important, and you may think you're the most important thing, but I have other things to do.

I'm in the middle of a performance evaluation right now and I have to go.

I said, if you leave this call, I will consider that rank insubordination and I will hold you accountable. And her particular response was quite troubling.

So she has made an allegation in the form of a

memo, you were all just forwarded this memo, I received it ten minutes before the start of this call, at 1:50; so as we were having this call is the first time I saw this memo. And I thought that given we are to approve this work plan, that you should also have an opportunity to view the memo as we proceed.

I'll state that this is important. FAMU, the students, our stakeholders, the institution itself is a lot more important than this agreement. But I'm notifying you that she has made an allegation, based upon a memo, that she feels as if my behavior this morning was a violation of her employee rights, and she cites a regulation.

So each of you just received a forwarded copy of the memo that she forwarded to me. I'm going to ask that we stand at ease for approximately three minutes to allow members of the Board to read the memo and at which time we will continue.

I am going to ask for approval of the work plan. I think we're okay. And based on the responses from the Provost that we're in a position to approve the work plan and to move forward; but as we move to approve the work plan, I thought it prudent that each of you had an opportunity to,

one, know what occurred this morning; two, know 1 that the communication is horrible and is not 3 improving and is affecting how we hold these meetings and it will affect the agenda item today; 5 and three, in spite of that, we have to put FAMU 6 first and go ahead -- and I will recommend approval 7 of the work plan, I'm okay with where we are. We'll have the discussion and we'll move forward. 8 9 So the time now I have on my clock is 2:35. 10 I'm going to ask that we stand at ease. If any 11 member would like additional time to review the 12 document or was not able to get the document, let 13 us know. And in approximately --14 It's 2:35 now. At approximately 2:40, Okay. 15 we will continue the meeting, approve the work 16 plan, and conclude this call. But we'll stand at 17 ease for approximately -- I said three minutes, but 18 we'll stand at ease for approximately five minutes. 19 Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman. TRUSTEE WOODY: 2.0 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Woody. 21 TRUSTEE WOODY: I haven't received a copy of 22 the memo at all so --23 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Attorney McKnight. 2.4 ATTORNEY MCKNIGHT: I was (inaudible). 25 I asked -- when I took a CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY:

1 look at it about 15 minutes ago, I asked Attorney McKnight if he would forward it to the 3 entire Board. TRUSTEE WOODY: Okay. I still haven't 5 received it yet, so let me go back and look. 6 TRUSTEE LAWSON: How long ago did 7 Attorney McKnight forward it? Because I'm at my 8 computer and I don't have anything. TRUSTEE SHANNON: At 2:16 p.m. TRUSTEE LAWSON: 2:16? Okay. 10 11 TRUSTEE WOODY: Yeah, Trustee Woody. I still 12 don't have a copy. ATTORNEY MCKNIGHT: I just resent it to you 13 14 again. Does anybody -- this is Avery McKnight. 15 Does anybody else not have it? 16 TRUSTEE LAWSON: Yeah, Attorney McKnight, this 17 is Lawson. I don't have it yet either. If you 18 could send it to either my AOL or my traditional 19 business address, if you don't mind. 2.0 ATTORNEY MCKNIGHT: Okay. All right. 21 Trustee Lawson, I just hit the send button, so 22 double check on either of the two that you just 23 referenced. 2.4 TRUSTEE LAWSON: It looks like it just showed 25

up on my iPhone so I may be able to open it here.

Attorney McKnight, I have it, but for some reason
it didn't show up on my laptop, it showed up on my
iPhone only. But I can manage it from here, so
I'll go ahead and take a read of it.

ATTORNEY MCKNIGHT: Trustee Woody?

TRUSTEE WOODY: I still haven't received it
yet on my iPad, so -- and maybe it's the weather.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Woody.

TRUSTEE WOODY: Don't wait for me. I guess
I'll have to review it when I can see it, but I
still haven't received it yet, so whenever you get
ready to continue the meeting, I'm ready.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Thank you, Trustee Woody.

(BRIEF PAUSE).

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Members of the Board, the time is now 2:40 p.m., five minutes that we have stood at ease. Again, I thought it prudent that you have this information so that you'll know how we got to this point. And as your Chair, until I was able to have the in-depth discussions, I was not informed.

If you have questions as we move forward, again, please ask them. I am satisfied in the

2.4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

information that I received from Provost David, but I am now going to recognize Trustee Grable for the presentation.

Trustee Grable, you're recognized.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Thank you,

Chairman Montgomery.

I have had a chance to review the work plan and also had a very good discussion with the Provost on Friday evening; and I, again, looked at the document as I indicated to her. And just as a little bit more of a catchup, the Board may recall at our Academic Affairs meeting on August 5th, that we did not vote to approve the plan but we did vote to move it on to the full Board on August 6th. At that time the Board did have a discussion.

And, again, I had some concerns along with other Board members. I was happy about it and I wanted to thank the Chairman for recognizing that the Board members were a little concerned about some of the numbers and some of the larger issues, going back in my particular case, to comments from the Board of Governors meeting in June.

And upon review, I see that we are certainly much more aggressive in the numbers, and I would like to delay at this point and allow Provost David

1 to offer her thoughts regarding her revisions to the plan that we see now, if that's appropriate 3 with the Chair. CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: It is 5 Provost David, you're recognized. 6 PROVOST DAVID: Good afternoon, members of the 7 Board. We were in conversations with Board of Governors' staff, both after the 8 Academic Affairs Committee meeting on the 5th and 10 after the Board meeting on the 6th --11 TRUSTEE WARREN: Mr. Chairman, this is 12 Trustee Warren. 13 CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Excuse me, 14 Provost David. 15 Trustee Warren. TRUSTEE WARREN: Provost David is not audible. 16 17 PROVOST DAVID: Okay. Sorry. I'll try and 18 project more. Thank you for sharing that. 19 TRUSTEE WARREN: Thank you. PROVOST DAVID: We were in conversations with 2.0 Board of Governors' staff on the 5th and on the 21 22 6th. And then at their invitation, after they 23 indicated they would extend the deadline for when 2.4 they needed to have our revised work plan 25 available, we had an in-depth meeting with the

O

2.0

Chancellor, as well as two Vice Chancellors, to talk at great length about our work plan.

We were trying to meet two different challenges: One challenge is to be exactly what the Board of Governors urged us to do -- to be, and I include in that some Board of Trustee members similarly, asking us to be aggressive and visionary in where we want FAMU to be.

At the same time we wanted to acknowledge that getting to where we want to be is going to be a challenge, and that while we had very aggressive goals, that absent resources, we might not be able to make the goals as quickly as we would like.

So we came to a compromised position which was for FAMU to add two columns to its work plan. The work plan usually goes out for five years, so we had very aggressive goals in the 2019 column that we have now shifted to 2021; and that allows us to have intermediate goals that are very aggressive but goals that are a little bit more likely to be attained in the short term as we move towards that visionary goal of being in the middle of the pack, as it were, of the State University System.

So essentially what we have done is for all of our goals where we had changes, we had added those

2.4

two columns and we have provided intermediary step goals that show how we plan to get there. The version that I circulated or had circulated later in the morning essentially just makes that point a little bit more apparent by having the 2020 and 2021 columns appear in a different color.

It should be pointed out that no other university has added two years to their column, so this is something that we have done that is unique to FAMU. It won't really change what happens when the system does its calculations for the entire State University System because the other institutions have only gone out to 2019, but it does allow us to have that bold and visionary statement to rally our campus around it.

I have already communicated about this in our faculty planning conference, for example. We have been talking with our registrar's office and academic advising about how we get to this point. And so it's very important for us to have that visionary aggressive stance. And I'll stop there and answer any questions that you might have.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Madam Chair.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Yes.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: This is Lawson. Just a

couple of quick questions for Provost David.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Certainly.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: First of all, Provost David, thanks for reaching out to me Saturday. I'm sorry we weren't able to connect, so I just had two brief questions.

One is on the average time to degree.

Considering that, you know, a number of the programs are now five-, and some, I think, even six-year programs, how do we factor that into the reduction in the average time to degree, or has that been factored in, and I'm just maybe not reading far enough down.

PROVOST DAVID: So this deals with bachelors' programs, and so we do have some programs that are longer in duration. This calculation is really going to be focused on programs that are similar to each other.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Okay. Got it.

And then my second question is on the annual gift row, and you may not be able to provide full detail now, I'll accept something else at a later date. But going from 3.3 to 5 and 5.7, do you have -- you know, because like we were talking in the meeting when we were there in Tallahassee, I

2.0

2.4

1 think one of the questions that may come up is, you know, show me how you're going to get to these 3 goals. I will agree that they're aggressive, but from 3 to 5 and then 5.7 in the subsequent year, do 5 you have some -- and maybe you can't share it all 6 here -- but some context or background on how 7

we bridge that gap?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

PROVOST DAVID: Vice President Cotton is available to answer that question, if that is appropriate.

Sure, that's fine, as long as TRUSTEE LAWSON: the Chair agrees.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Agreed. Please continue.

VICE PRESIDENT COTTON: Yeah, when we took a look at the numbers, we basically feel that not only are the numbers reachable but, quite frankly, we don't see them as aggressive at all. Right now we're barely reaching 8% of our alumni base of 51,000. So what we've done is taken a look at projecting a 40% increase for alumni, and increasing our numbers on the corporate side.

So, quite frankly, we think that the numbers are low, but they're a low projection because we believe sincerely that we're underperforming when it comes to reaching both the alumni and the corporate base.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: But I guess I was looking for a little more detail on the how, and maybe that's something, Mr. Cotton, that you can provide separately.

VICE PRESIDENT COTTON: Yes.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: Because, again, if I'm sitting around and this is being reviewed with me, I'd like a little more detail on bridging the gap from 3.3 to 5.7 when historically we've, you know, we've had a tough job to getting to 3.3 and above.

So not for today, I don't want to prolong the call, but if I'm hearing this from a BOG standpoint, I'd want a little more background on the hows. So one recommendation that I would have is that we're prepared to answer that for the BOG, you know, during the presentation.

But, you know, I do think that the goals are aggressive. And, you know, Provost David, one thing we talked about is these obviously have a tie to the previous discussion.

And, you know, my other question is: If that funding doesn't come, you know, I'm sure we won't be allowed to address these goals. But, you know,

2.0

1 how do we -- not for a discussion here -- but how do we fair assuming that funding does not come. 3 And then, you know, that was really -- that 4 was really my last comment. I'll just keep --5 there is one other question, but I'll wait and give 6 others an opportunity, Madam Chair. 7 TRUSTEE GRABLE: Thank you, Trustee Lawson. 8 Are there any other questions from other 9 Trustees regarding the work plan? 10 TRUSTEE MOORE: Trustee Grable, this is 11 Trustee Moore. 12 TRUSTEE GRABLE: Trustee Moore, you're 13 recognized. 14 TRUSTEE MOORE: Thank you. 15 My question is specific to just the work plan 16 and the ongoing communication and updates to this 17 body. Has there been any discussion regarding the 18 frequency as it relates to progress? 19 TRUSTEE GRABLE: Provost David, can you answer 2.0 that question? 21 PROVOST DAVID: I'm sorry. I apologize, 22 Trustee Moore. I'm not sure I understand the 23 question. The frequency with regard to updating 2.4 the Board of Trustees as to our progress?

TRUSTEE MOORE: Right. Will this be housed

\_

within Academic Affairs, with that committee? Is that where you're envisioning providing any updates, any challenges where in instances we may not be reaching or we may be exceeding the goals set? In essence, some level of communication prior to when it's a year later and we are where we are, so what would be that communication vehicle and what would be the frequency thereof?

PROVOST DAVID: So I would respond that there are two answers: One answer is that it's an annual cycle, so the numbers are gathered, reported.

Sometimes they're gathered and reported not by us but by the Board of Governors and that happens on an annual cycle.

So while we might have some ideas about where we are on certain of the benchmarks throughout the work plan, we would not necessarily be able to have a holistic, periodic look at that, so that's the first answer.

The second answer is that I believe at the last meeting, the last telephonic meeting of the committee, if I recall correctly, if I've got the dates right, Trustee Grable said that it was her intent to have the Academic Affairs Committee be thinking more about the work plan throughout the

course of the year. And I enthusiastically
supported that because, as you can tell, I very
much am committed to our progress on this matter.
And I'm happy to update the Academic Affairs
Committee as needed, as desired, and whatever
information we have available at the time,
including the planning that we're doing and how

TRUSTEE MOORE: Thank you.

we're trying to move things forward.

PROVOST DAVID: Trustee Grable, if I might, I do have one additional answer to make with regard to Trustee Lawson's question, and VP Cotton does not perhaps appreciate this because he's new to the institution; but because these are annual lagging indicators --

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Yes.

PROVOST DAVID: -- the jump from 3.3 million to 5 million has actually already happened. The next jump is the jump from 5 million to 5.7 million. And so we will be continuing to make progress, but we have actually already achieved \$5 million, it's just not recorded yet because all of these indicators are lagging indicators.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. Trustee Lawson, were you able to hear that? I'm getting a lot of --

2.4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

1 TRUSTEE LAWSON: Yeah, I was. Thank you, Provost David. There is a background noise, but I 3 did hear that we're already at the 5 million mark. TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. Thank you, 5 Provost David. That's good news. And if there are no other questions, I know --7 I think -- Trustee Lawson, did you suggest you may have one other --8 TRUSTEE LAWSON: Yeah, one more if you don't 10 mind, Madam Chair. 11 One of the governors had a fairly strong 12 suggestion on what the size of the University 13 should be over time; and I see here, in a positive 14 way, we're projecting continued growth which is, I

> So, Provost David, how do we plan to respond to that recommendation?

PROVOST DAVID: Thank you for the additional question, and I will ask Bill Hudson to jump in if there are things that I don't say that are as fully responsive as they could be.

From what we understand, the concern was that they viewed us as growing too big given that we were not able to have the outputs, the outcomes that we were hoping for; and so we have what we

think, good.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

believe to be modest growth. It is growth, but it is growth that is across specific strategic areas.

So what we hope to do is to continue to control the number of access and opportunity students, as we have successfully done this year, and to have more higher quality students, as we have successfully done this year.

We also hope to improve the mix of nonresident students so we can recruit those highly qualified students from outside the State of Florida who might have an affinity for FAMU, so getting some more of our Rattler base to come to Florida A & M; also, a modest expansion in our international recruitment. We believe that we can get highly qualified international students, many of whom might actually be coming from places where their government subsidizes their education, which is helpful in a loft of different ways.

And then finally, we would like to be more aggressive, and hopefully more successful, in reestablishing those community college articulation agreements so that we are getting more transfer students who would add to our student body.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Trustee Grable?
TRUSTEE GRABLE: Yes, and is it

Trustee Warren? I can't recognize the voice.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: This is Montgomery.

This is Montgomery.

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Sorry, Mr. Chairman, I do know your voice. Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Members of the Board, I appreciated the questions from Trustee Lawson. I attended that meeting, and I heard the question from the Board of Governors. I had a meeting with Doctor Mangum in April on campus in which she indicated where she thought we would be headed from an enrollment perspective; and with all due respect to the Provost and other members of the team, it's not a -- the decision about where the University is headed and from an operations perspective where it's headed will lie with the Chief Executive.

So I believe that this would be a time to hear, with regard to a response to Trustee Lawson's question, what does Doctor Mangum see as the direction we're heading in?

Do you believe, as you stated before, that we should strengthen the enrollment to get what you termed a different kind of student? Do you believe we should stay where we are, or are you supportive of a growth in enrollment?

And before you answer, Board members, obviously this is something that we need to look at from a visioning perspective; but in the interim, I think we should all understand what's the Chief Executive's position and what the Chief Executive would plan to present.

Also, in preparation for the Board of Governors' meeting, I think that's a question that the President should answer in response to the Board of Governors so that they'll know exactly where the University is headed from an administration perspective.

Doctor Mangum.

PRESIDENT MANGUM: Thank you for allowing me to answer that question.

The University's position on the work plan is as presented and proposed. We had a senior leadership team meeting with regard to the metrics and the proposal that you see before you, and we are all in agreement with the projected enrollment as it is shown in this document.

We also propose that based upon the questions that were raised by the Board of Governors' members, as part of our strategic planning process, is to have a visioning and a mission statement

review as part of strategic planning so that we can understand what the University would like its student body and its enrollment to look like going forward in the future.

We have talked about -- and I have talked about with many people, about increasing the quality of the education. Part of us attracting students and part of our brand management has to do with quality, so we're interested in as many quality students as we can afford to bring to the institution.

But the mix of students that require additional support, remediation, et cetera, I believe is a discussion that the University community has to talk about and embrace so that we have the full support, if we are to change what the demographics of our student body or the SES, the socio-economic status, of the students that we serve would change, or any decisions that we make would result in a change in the demographics of our students. It is an institutional visioning type of an approach that we should have.

So right now, the work plan represents who we want to be, and the way that the current 2010/2020 plan states, we're following the pattern as

previously existed. To change that would require that we engage all of our stakeholders in this conversation. And so right now, the plan that is presented by the Provost is the plan that the University will continue with until changed.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Chair Montgomery, are you okay with that response, and are we at a point where any other Board member may want to chime in or offer any comments or ask any questions?

TRUSTEE LAWSON: This is (inaudible) speaking, Madam Chair.

TRUSTEE WOODY: Madam Chairman.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Montgomery.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Please continue,
Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. Thank you.

Trustee Lawson.

TRUSTEE LAWSON: No, no, I was going to just try and close my question with a quick statement. I too support the growth in enrollment; however, there was a fairly firm question around what our footprint should look like and I just wanted to be sure that we return that with an aggressive answer with what our mission is and the fact that we do intend to grow.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

And I do agree with the President that, you know, beyond this we'll need to have a broader discussion on specifically what that looks like.

But I think for the time being, to stay with the original mission and stay -- not, you know, specifically how, but what that mission is and why it's important for us to move forward and over time increase our enrollment with the right student population, I think is the right message that we need to deliver while we're in front of the BOG.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Thank you.

And unless there are other questions, I'd like to offer just a couple of comments and I guess that reflect my thoughts about this new revised work plan after, of course, hearing — talking to the Provost personally and seeing the document that was presented to us today.

One, I'd like to actually echo

Trustee Lawson's comment regarding the type of

student body -- it actually probably even reflects

some of the comments made by President Mangum as

well as the Provost.

I noticed there was a couple of points at the end of the work plan proposed revisions, those three pages we got, and one of my concerns -- I,

\_\_

of course, carefully, like other Board members and the Provost, she indicated that at the last meeting, and I was happy that she had looked at the transcript from the BOG meeting.

And Governor Tripp mentioned the issue of diversity, which I understood not to just be related to race, but to cover other areas; and that was going to be one of my questions today after looking at these revised work plan revisions.

And I see we are looking at high performing students who are maybe out of state, we anticipate growth there, as well as international students.

And I think that does go a long way to address the diversity that I believe the Board of Governors -
Governor Tripp in particular -- may have been referring to. And I think we should include that somewhere near the top of our presentation, which I think goes to that issue.

My last comment really in looking at the numbers in particular related to the (phone disruption) of bachelors' degrees without excess hours. I was a little concerned about that and I indicated to the Provost that I would be looking further at the report over the weekend after she and I had our discussion Friday evening.

2.4

And it looks to me like it's going to take us about nine or ten years to get where the SUS pretty much is by 2019. And that was the only other concern I had, that we may have to make sure we have an appropriate response to, when we do take our presentation forward.

I'm not sure if Provost David wanted to respond to any of that at all, but that would be -- those would be two comments that I thought would be important.

PROVOST DAVID: Thank you for your comments,
Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Thank you, Provost David.

Did you have anything else you wanted to add, or do any other Board members have other comments or questions?

TRUSTEE WOODY: Madam Chairman, this is Trustee Woody.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Trustee Woody.

TRUSTEE WOODY: This question is for the President. Has she had an opportunity to talk with any of the members from the Board of Governors in reference to the plan and get their idea? I understand that staff has been talking to the staff of the Board of Governors, but has the President

1 reached out to any of the Board of Governors and discussed the plan at all before September? 3 PRESIDENT MANGUM: May I respond? 4 TRUSTEE GRABLE: Yes, President Mangum, 5 please. 6 PRESIDENT MANGUM: Thank you. 7 We have scheduled meetings with members of the 8 Board of Governors before the September meeting. 9 Yes, sir, we do have meetings scheduled, and we 10 will be traveling around the state, and in some 11 cases across the country, to meet with them. So 12 they are set. 13 TRUSTEE WOODY: Thank you. 14 PRESIDENT MANGUM: Uh-huh. 15 TRUSTEE GRABLE: And might I follow up? 16 President Mangum, do you anticipate we may make 17 any changes, or you're pretty much set that this, 18 you know, once it's approved -- of course in your 19 discussions with presidents elsewhere, is that 2.0 notes for next year in your discussions with them? 21 PRESIDENT MANGUM: I would expect that the 22 plan that would be present is the plan that our 23 Board of Trustees approves. 2.4 TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. All righty. And one 25 last -- yes?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Oh, please continue.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. One last question, and Provost David remembers when we talked on Friday night I asked this question, and I can tell, based on the report, that I was like -- the Provost's office and her staff feel quite confident about this work plan today.

And, Provost David, I mentioned that I would probably ask this again, you may recall. Are you comfortable and do you feel that we have a plan that if the Board approves it today would be one that we could expect the Board of Governors to hopefully agree with us on?

PROVOST DAVID: Well, thank you for the question. And, of course, I can affirmatively say yes because you used the word "hopefully."

> TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay.

PROVOST DAVID: So we have been in conversations, and we will make that extra step of trying to reach out and talk with the Board of Governors individually. The last time they asked us to have a vision to reimagine what we think FAMU can be. We have done our best to do that.

That presents a whole host of different challenges, but we hope that they will support the

fact that we are trying to imagine FAMU as FAMU can be, and that's all we can do, is hope that they will appreciate that and give us their support.

I think you -- embedded in your question was another question which is, am I confident? We have been taking into account already plans and steps to try and move things forward. We are working on different advising models. We are using the million dollars that we were provided to enhance what we're doing already. We've been in conversations with the registrar's office and academic advising about how we can do things differently.

And so I am confident that we will be able to make progress. Certainly the significant progress that's shown in the 2021 column is going to require additional people to help make that happen, improving our academic advising ratio, student ratio; but we're going to make progress one way or another.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: Okay. Thank you, Provost David.

TRUSTEE WOODY: Thank you.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: And at this point, Chairman, please advise me, are we --

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: I'll take it. I'll take it from here. Thank you, Trustee Grable.

TRUSTEE GRABLE: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: As we close the discussion and move on to approval, I'll share that I have asked Doctor Mangum to brief each Trustee who will be in attendance at the Board of Governors meeting prior to that meeting.

I've also asked if the administration would review and contact on an individual basis — having reviewed the tape of the prior meeting, contact on an individual basis individual members of the Board of Governors who did have questions during that period of time; and then finally, as we move forward, again, there needs to be a commitment from the administration to get this information to us prior. I had anticipated a much shorter call, and I'm still of the belief that individual calls to members of the Board will allow for these types of questions to be answered prior to getting in a Board meeting.

Doctor Mangum has stated in writing that she disagrees and she will not do it that way, and I don't think she understands that when she receives a direction, it's not a request. But she believes

1	we talk about it during the public call; I believe
2	she calls and talks to individual meetings (sic).
3	You'll hear more about that, but given that's where
4	we are, is there a motion to approve the work plan?
5	TRUSTEE WOODY: So move.
6	TRUSTEE ALSTON: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: The motion has been made
8	and properly seconded. Any additional discussion?
9	(NO RESPONSE).
10	CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Hearing none, all those
11	in favor please indicate by saying aye.
12	(AFFIRMATIVE INDICATIONS).
13	CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: Any opposed, nay.
14	(NO RESPONSE).
15	CHAIRMAN MONTGOMERY: The motion carries
16	unanimously.
17	There being no further business for the Board,
18	this meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
19	(WHEREUPON, THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED).
20	
21	
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	